Z Ø 20/8/ 12016

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE DISTRICT JUDGE SAKET COURT, NEW DELHI (5001 (SOUTH EAST)

TM No.

of 2016

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Having its registered Office at: 14, Ring Road Lajpat Nagar -IV New Delhi -110 024 Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd

Saket Courts Complex District & Sessi "Manua ソーシー The State Kymon

## VERSUS

Dharani Complex, NH -9 H No. 1-4-249/39 Survapet City, Nalgonda District Andhara Pradesh- 508213 Metro Hospital

...Defendant

SUIT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION RESTRAINING INFRINGEMENT OF TRADE MARK, PASSING OFF FOR RENDITION OF ACCOUNTS OF PROFITS, DELIVERY UP, ACTS OF UNFAIR COMPETITION E.T.C.

The Plaintiff above-named most respectfully submit as under-

The Plaintiff namely, Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences Private Limited, is a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, India having

present suit and to sign and verify the pleadings on its behalf 024. Mr. Govind Kumar Sharma is the authorized signatory to institute the its registered office at 14, Ring Road, Lajpat Nagar -IV, New Delhi -110

wing under the name Metro Multispecialty Hospital was set up. This was segment in 1997, the Plaintiff started in September, 1998, a multispecialty Noida in June 1997. Immediately after foraying into the heart care hospital under the name, Metro Hospitals & Heart Institute (MHHI) at Plaintiff with the help of a group of NRI physicians founded the first man at the most affordable cost, Dr. Purshotam Lal the chairman of the With a vision to provide the utmost level of healthcare to the common

JINICA JINICA 

## ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE 01 - SOUTH EAST DISTRICT, SAKET COURTS, NEW DELHI IN THE COURT OF SH. LALIT KUMAR:



19/16

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

Metro Hospital

31.03.2016

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

up etc. received by assignment. It be checked and registered. infringement of Trade Mark, rendition of accounts of profits, delivery This is a suit for permanent injunction, restraining

prayed in his application u/o XXXIX rule 1&2 read with Section 151 of plaintiff. ex-parte injunction/protection may be granted to the plaintiff as as defendant is infringing the Trade Marks registered in the name Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that ad-interim

and have perused the records. The brief facts of the case are that: Heard on the prayer for ex-parte ad-interim injunction

incorporated as U.G Hospitals Pvt. Ltd. Multispecility Hospital were set up. name Metro Hospital and Heart Instituted at Noida in June 1997 The plaintiff was originally founded the first hospital under the Multispecility Wing under the name Metro The plaintiff was originally as on 20.02,1990. The

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd.

Z

31.03

2

aforementioned registrations were applied in the name of U G Institutes of Medical Sciences Pvt. Ltd on 17.05.2007. The name of plaintiff changed to Hospitals Pvt. Ltd. (plaintiff as originally incorporated). Registry to record the change in the name of the plaintiff to its appropriate applications on Form TM 33 with the Trade Mark registrations are duly renewed and valid. The plaintiff has filed component i.e. Trade name Metro used since 1997. which is a composite mark / label incorporating the essential trade marks Metro, Metro Heart Institute and Metro Hospital Registry, Though, the plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the present name. The same is pending with the Trade Mark its present name i.e. Metro

- in trade mark of plaintiff as infringed one and accordingly issued a defendant namely "Metro Hospital" that it is using the identical It is further averred that the plaintiff came to know about the defendant did not reply inspite of having received it on 04th cease and legal /desist notice dated 25.02.2016 to which the
- က maliciously using its name and deceptively projecting himself in his favour and has not been overruled by the registration year 2007. Plaintiff argued that the said registration is still valid certificate as well as certificate of trademark registration in the last 19 years are on the stake due to the above and is adversely as Metro Hospital . The goodwill earned by the plaintiff from the authorities or has not been using the plaintiff's trademark is indeed deceiving the common affecting the interest and reputation of plaintiff. Defendant by further argued that plaintiff has expired yet, Defendant has got incorporation

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro Hospital

Pg. 2 of 4

쿮

people.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff relied upon case titled as Morgan Securities and Exchange Board of India 1994 Law Suit (SC)549 Stanley Mutual present petition. qua the territorial jurisdiction of this court to entertain the Funds; Arvind Gupta Vs. Kartick Das;

Fahad Islahi and Anr in support of his arguments qua present 3289/2012 Metro Institutes of medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Dr. application. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff relied upon a case law as CS (OS)

- ĊΔ The plaintiff has established a prima facie case and the balance the impugned trade mark METRO. defendant from providing medical and hospital services under injunction suffer irreparable loss and injury unless an order of interim of convenience is in favour of the plaintiff. The plaintiff will is granted during the proceedings restraining the
- Ġ are restrained from using "Metro" as trade name / trade mark or Considering after 15 days from the service to the defendant. Compliance of of medical services or any other trade mark or trade name as as a part of its corporate name and / or trading name in respect business franchisees, licensees, distributors, dealers and agents order 39 rule 3 be done within a week. However, it is made clear that this order shall come into effect may be deceptively similar thereto till the next date of hearing. or proprietor, the circumstances, defendant, his directors, as the case may be, assignees in
- .7 Nothing stated herein shall tantamount the expression of any opinion on the merits of this case.

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro Hospital

₹

for 12.07.2016. Steps within 7 working days. XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC be issued to the defendant on filing of PF/RC Notice of the suit alongwith application under Order

TAN DEE

Additional District Judge 01(SE), Saket Courts, New Delhi/ 31.03.2016

